Report of Harmful Interference From a Broadband Over Power Line Trial or Deployment

Name of complainant: KOCNEY W. KOENBARGER
Call sign (if applicable): / [/]
Station location: Mobile
Mailing address (if different): 1032 ZNKY RD
City, State, Zip: <u>Corronwood</u> AZ. 86326
Telephone: 928-649-1866 Email: KIGFURON O COMMEDED - NET
Description of Interference: CONTNUOUS CARRIER WITH
DATA BURET
Description of Description of your station
MOBILE TUSTRIED IN CHELY PICKUP
Receiver(s)
affected: Icom 706 MTIG
Antenna
type: HISTLIER. HF MOBILE AWTENNAS
Antenna
location: MOBILE IN COTTON WOOD AZ.
Distance of antenna from own house (feet):
Distance of antenna from neighboring houses (feet):
100
Distance of antenna from power distribution line or equipment
(feet): 250°
Log of interference:

Date	Time	Frequency	Receive Mode	Interfering signal strength	Description
6-17-04	9:00	29.100 THEAD 64 30000	USB	S9+200B	CONTINUOUS CADRUEL WHILL DATA BURSTS
11		18.500 -	USB	57	1
11		13.000 -	USB	58t	
11		7.000-	LSB	58	<i>11</i>
11		3.700-		58	11
	l	26.000 -	USB	59+	1)

August 9, 2004

Mr. David Shpigler Electric Broadband North Mill Street Nyack, NY 10960

Dear Mr. Shpigler,

Broadband over Power Lines (BPL) interfering signals have been here in Cottonwood since May of this year. We have seen no changes in the interfering signals from May through August 2, 2004. Three months is more than enough time to fix the interference.

It is time to face the facts that BPL radiates interference from power lines! It is time to shut down BPL because of the unacceptable interference it emits.

Sincerely,

Norman W. Vandiver, N7VF

1862 Arena Del Loma

Camp Verde, AZ 86322

928-567-9881

vandivers@kachina.net

ENCL: Report of Harmful Interference from BPL dated 8-9-04

CC: FCC-Anh Wride, Alan Stillwell, Riley Hollingworth, James Burtle, Ed Hare of ARRL.

Report of Harmful Interference From a Broadband Over Power Line Trial

or Deployment
Name of complainant: Norman W V andiver
Call sign (if applicable): N7VF
Station location: Mobile Cotton wood AZ
Mailing address (if different): 1862 Arena Del Loma
City, State, Zip: Cange Varde 47 86322
Telephone: 928-527-9881 Email: vandivers & Kachina. Wet
Description of Interference: Carriers with modulation Click
spaced approx lib KHZ
Description of station: Flecka Ft K-2 HF transciever
Vehical Mounted for mobile operation
Receiver(s) affected: Elecvaft-K2 Tunes Ameture Frequencies
3-30 MHZ Antenna type: Hustler 54" Vertical with resonators for each Box
Antenna location: Left Front Fender of 1987 Chev. Pick up
Distance of antenna from own house (feet):
Distance of antenna from neighboring houses (feet):
Distance of antenna from power distribution line or equipment (feet): 300 Feeter to - One and one was miles dependent on

Log of Interference:

Date	Time	Frequency MH2.	Receive Mode	Interfering Signal Strength	Description
8/2/04		14,320 14,304 14.292	U58 U58 U58	55 55 52	Comier with Modulation
3/2/04	2PM	39 83 3,9 89	13B	59 }	Carrier with Modulation click's
8/2/04	2 PM	3,989	LSB	1/mile 55 1/mile 57 1/mile 55	Carrier With Modulations Click's

traveling east on HWY 760 away from the BPL site.

I could hardly believe my own eyes and lors. So come and See for your self. these signals are traveling on the Power lines D.

nes Burtle

m:	Anh Wric	le					
nt:	Thursday	y, September 0	2, 2004 2:58 P	M			
:	James B	urtle; Alan Scri	me; Alan Stillw	eil; Bruce Fr	anca; Karen Ra	ackley	
bjec		. Interference c					•
Non	-Public: For	Internal Use On	ly ***				
-Orig	jinal Messa	g e	•				
	•	y [mailto:dghar eptember 02, 2	, -	t]			
	Wride	epæriber 02, 2	.007 2.30 PM				
bjec	t: BPL Inte	rference					
me i	of compla	inant: Dovl	e Hardy				
		icable): K					
	- ,			r, Ft Worth	Tx, 76180		
ailin	g address	(if different):_					
•		Ft Wortl					
					dy@charter.ne		
	A		-	_		the time was operating n	
Cot	tonwood	AZ. He was a	t Murphy'gril	ll in Cotton	wood . The int	erfence i from the local E	BPL
_tes	t made my	signal unread	iable. When t	he BPL was	s off I had a sig	gnal of S7 and very	
adab	le						
					·		
							
				1 700	550 D :	- 100	
	-				-570 D, runnin	ig 100	
atts_							• .
	ver(e) offe	oted: ICOM	706 mark 2 6	3			
	na type:	ciedICOM	700 mark 2 V	J			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	na locatio	n·					-
		nna from owr	house (feet):				-
		enna from neig	, ,				
					ipment (feet):		
	f interfere	_					
D	ate	Time	Frequency	Receive	Interfering	Description	
				Mode	signal		
L	0.00.00.4	100003	14005	GGD	strength	Was informed that	-
10	9/02/04	1800GMT	14225	SSB	57 +	Was informed that	

Date	Time	Frequency	Receive Mode	Interfering signal strength	Description
09/02/04	1800GMT	14225 MHZ	SSB	57 +	Was informed that BPL totally made my signal unreadable.

art 15 of the Federal Communications Commission's

- C's rules, Part 15 includes a definition of harmful interference. It can be found in \$15.3): "Harmful interference. Any emission, radiation or induction that endangers the functioning a radio navigation service or of other safety services or seriously degrades, obstructs, or peatedly interrupts a radiocommunication service operating in accordance with this chapter."
- e rules are very clear about the operation of Part 15 devices, too. §15.5 details general nditions of operation, saying in part:
- Operation of an intentional, unintentional, or incidental radiator is subject to the nditions that no harmful interference is caused and that interference must be accepted that y be caused by the operation of an authorized radio station, by another intentional or intentional radiator, by industrial, scientific, and medical (ISM) equipment, or by an cidental radiator.
-) The operator of a radio frequency device shall be required to cease operating the device on notification by an FCC representative that the device is causing harmful interference. eration shall not resume until the condition causing the harmful interference has been prected.
- rt 15 permits the operation of certain radio frequency devices without a license from the FCC the need for frequency coordination (47 C.F.R. § 15.1). The technical standards contained in rt 15 ensure that unlicensed devices will not cause harmful interference to other users of e radio spectrum (47 C.F.R. § 15.5). Within the Part 15 Rules, intentional radiators (devices at transmit a telecommunication signal) are permitted to operate under a set of limits. Part of the FCC Rules and Regulations has established Radio Frequency emission limits to provide interference-free radio frequency spectrum. Many electronic devices generate RF energy cidental to their intended function and are covered by these rules of harmful interference.

James Burtle

From:

James Burtle

Sent: To: Tuesday, September 21, 2004 4:15 PM 'shpigler@electricbroadband.com'

Subject:

FW: BPL Interference Reports





James Burtle FCC BPL Interference letter Sept 1... Report Aug-Se...

Mr. Shpigler,

Here is the complaint that I received from Mr. Vandiver.

Jim Burtle

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

----Original Message----

From: vandivers [mailto:vandivers@kachina.net]

Sent: Friday, September 17, 2004 1:38 PM

To: James Burtle

Subject: BPL Interference Reports

Dear Mr. Burtle,

Please find attached a copy of the hard copy letter and report I mailed to you.

Respectfully,

Norman W. Vandiver, N7VF 1862 Arena Del Loma Camp Verde, AZ 86322 928-567-9881 Federal Communications Commission Mr. James R. Burtle Chief, Experimental Licensing Branch Room 7-A267 445 – 12th Street S.W. Washington, D.C. 20024

Dear Mr. Burtle,

Thank you for your response to my interference reports of June and August of this year. Electric Broadband (EBB) has not responded to those reports.

I have continued to spot-check the amateur bands at the Cottonwood BPL sites. Electric Broadband has been making changes but they do not eliminate the interference to the ham radio and CB bands. What they are doing is shifting from one segment of the HF spectrum to another, between the three sites.

I do have an unsigned copy of a report to you from Electric Broadband. However, this report is invalid and misleading. Please refer to the ARRL analysis for the technical specifications. How can EBB deny the issue of BPL interference? The claim to working with the ARRL is hollow because EBB has not worked with the local ham radio club, Verde Valley Amateur Radio Association. Other than phone calls of no substance, there has been no cooperation from EBB.

Were BPL to be in my neighborhood, within ¼ mile, my equipment would be neutralized and my license worthless. It would be unable to perform any type of emergency communications on the HF ham bands. BPL also eliminates mobile and portable operation for emergency communications. I know the importance of having emergency communications operable...I was in Anchorage, Alaska, in March of 1964 when they had the huge earthquake. After the major quake, I got my ham station up and on the air, spending the following days and nights relaying health and welfare messages to the lower 48. I KNOW what ham radio is for!

Why aren't BPL and the FCC giving us guidelines about how to operate under their interfering conditions? If they're happy with these conditions, doesn't it seem reasonable they would tell us how to co-exist with the interference? How has it become possible for BPL promoters to steal the HF spectrum with amateur radio operators who are left to discover what is really happening? And why are the trial BPL tests snuck in and hidden, both technically and physically? If BPL is deployed, will the FCC perform their responsibilities as stated in both statute and law? I fear the worst because of the manner in which the FCC is handling BPL

(continued page two)

Letter to James Burtle, FCC September 15, 2004 Page Two

I find it interesting our radio club cannot get a response from our own Senator John McCain regarding our concerns with this BPL interference issue. We have written Senator McCain, offering him to come to Cottonwood to experience the actual interference, but to no avail.

In conclusion, I would like an honest, straightforward reply to my questions raised in this letter. I thank you in advance for your real-life response.

Respectfully,

Norman W. Vandiver, N7VF 1862 Arena Del Loma Camp Verde, AZ 86322 928-567-9881

cc: Anh Wride, FCC, Alan R. Stillwell, FCC, Riley Hollingsworth, FCC, William J. Post, Arizona Public Service, Senator John McCain, Verde Valley Amateur Radio Association

Encl: Interference Measurement Reports for Cottonwood, AZ, BPL sites, Aug-Sept 2004

HARMFUL INTERFERENCE REPORT FROM BPL TRIAL

Cottonwood, AZ

	· ·	,		Interfering		
		Frequency	Receive	Signal		
Date	Time	MHZ	Mode	Strength	Description	
LOCATION:	Birch Stre	et Apartmer	ts, on the s	treet in front	of the buildings. Cottonwood, AZ.	
8/29/2004		14.165	USB	S9 + 30 db	Multiple carriers w/galloping, clicking modulation.	
8/29/2004	4:23 PM		USB	S9 + 30 db	Multiple carriers w/galloping, clicking modulation.	
9/8/2004		26.988	USB	S0 + 20 db	Multiple carriers w/galloping, clicking modulation.	
				S9 + 20 db		
		28.866 to		Modulations		
9/8/2004	12:45 PM	29.434	USB	to 35 db	Multiple carriers w/galloping, clicking modulation.	
9/8/2004	1:15 PM	14.305	USB	S9 + 20 db	Sounds like a harsh telephone dial tone.	Station Equipment:
						Elecraft K-2 Transceiver
Location: B	irch Street	Apartments	, across the	street. Cott	onwood, AZ.	Vehicle mounted for mobile
9/10/2004	10:00 AM	18.165	USB	S 9	Multiple carriers with galloping, clicking modulation.	operation.
9/14/2004	9:04 AM	24.99	USB	S9 + 10 db	listricipio carrioto tria: gameping, and a	3-30 MHZ frequency.
9/14/2004	9:04 AM	24.89	USB	S9 + 10 db	Multiple carriers with galloping, clicking modulation.	Mode: single sideband
						IF band width: 2.2 KHZ filter
Location: E	nd of Bircl	Street, Cot	tonwood. A	Z.		Antenna: Hustler 54" vertical
9/14/2004			USB	S9 + 20 db	Galloping click on low-level carriers.	with resonators.
9/14/2004			USB	S9 + 20 db	Galloping click on low-level carriers.	Antenna is mounted on the
9/14/2004			USB	S9 + 20 db	Galloping click on low-level carriers.	left front fender of a 1987
9/14/2004			USB	S9 + 15 db	Galloping click on low-level carriers.	Chevrolet pickup truck.
9/14/2004			USB	S9 + 15 db	Galloping click on low-level carriers.	8' of RG8 coax connect the
		26.965 to				
9/14/2004	10:30 AM	27.405	USB	S7	Galloping click on low-level carriers.	antenna and transceiver.
Location: S	awmill Cov	e on Cottor	wood Stree	t, Cottonwo	od, AZ.	
		27.670 to				·
9/10/2004	10:30 AM	24.890	USB	S9	Multiple carriers with galloping, clicking modulation.	
Location: Sa	awmill Cov	e, site of in	ection on C		Street, Cottonwood, AZ.	
9/14/2004	7:24 AM		UŞB	A	Multiple carriers with galloping, clicking modulation.	
9/14/2004	7:24 AM		USB	S9 + 10 db	Multiple carriers with galloping, clicking modulation.	
9/14/2004	7:24 AM	29.541	UŞB	S1		
9/14/2004	7:24 AM	24.898	USB	S 5		
		-				

Norman W. Vandiver, N7VF vandivers@kachina.net

1862 Arena Del Loma Camp Verde, AZ 86322

HARMFUL INTERFERENCE REPORT FROM BPL TRIAL

Cottonwood, AZ

Date 9/14/2004 1	Time 11:00 AM "	Frequency MHZ 28.728 28.715 28.706 28.698 28.686 28.675	Receive Mode USB	Signal Strength S8 S7 S7 S7	Description Galloping, clicking modulation.	
9/14/2004 1	Time 11:00 AM "	MHZ 28.728 28.715 28.706 28.698 28.686 28.675	USB	\$8 \$7 \$7	Galloping, clicking modulation.	
17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	28.715 28.706 28.698 28.686 28.675	N N N	S7 S7		
17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1	17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1	28.706 28.698 28.686 28.675	N N	S7		
17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1	17 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	28.698 28.686 28.675	N N	I	•	
77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	28.686 28.675	a	S6		
17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1	n n	28.686 28.675			*	Station Equipment:
11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	7	28.675		S6		Elecraft K-2 Transceiver
17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1	7		n	S6		Vehicle mounted for mobile
n n n n	<u> </u>	28.668	11	S8		operation.
n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n		28.658	H	S7	n n	3-30 MHZ frequency.
7 7		28.649		S7	"	Mode: single sideband
	10	28.638	70	S7		IF band width: 2.2 KHZ filter
*		28.628	7	S7		Antenna: Hustler 54" vertical
	п	28.618	11	S7		with resonators.
**		28.608	91	S7	H	Antenna is mounted on the
	**	28.598	99	S7	•	left front fender of a 1987
**	*	28.588		S8	n	Chevrolet pickup truck.
61	•	28.578	Ħ	S8	**	8' of RG8 coax connect the
*		28.568	я	S7	**	antenna and transceiver.
n .	-	28.558	77	S7	7)	
	-	28.548		S8	•	
-		28.538		S8		
-		28.532	#	S8	77	
**	-	28.52	#	S8	7	
		28.512	9	S7	•	
		28.502	4	S7	99	
*	•	28.492	#	S8		
	•	28.48	*	S8		
•	-	28.472	*	S8		
-		28.462		S8		
	-	28.452	•	S8	N	
	-	28.442	-	S8	#	
	•	28.432		S8	***	
		28.422		S8	8	
12	•					

Verde Valley Amateur Radio Association BPL Committee Report on the Effectiveness of BPL Notching as of October 2, 2004, at the Cottonwood, Arizona Trial Test Sites

To: Sheryl Wilkerson, FCC

October 5, 2004

This Notching report is in re: Experimental Station WB9XVP; File No. 0136-EX-2004 at Cottonwood (Yavapai County) Arizona; Broadband Over Power Line System; Request for immediate cessation of Operation and Revocation of Special Temporary Authorization

From the time of the first harmful interference reports from individuals in mid-June and the VVARA filing of initial harmful interference on July 31, 2004, actual adjustments to the system by Electric broadband, LLC did not begin until mid August. Initial notching left quite a bit of interference. (See VVARA filing dated September 11, 2004 to Jim Burtle). Subsequent notching was marginally more effective. However, a problem continues to exist on the following Amateur bands; 17 meters, 15 meters, 10 meters and 20 meters. See appendix A for October 2, 2004 measurements.

These BPL signal readings were measured from an HF mobile station. In a fixed station setting the interference readings from a larger more efficient antenna system will be much higher on the affected bands. Due to the geographically small size of the trial area, no fixed amateur station is currently located near the BPL equipment. Certainly, this will not be the case if BPL is deployed throughout the community.

As evidenced in these most recent measurements, mitigation has not included MARS frequencies, shortwave broadcasts, portions of low VHF and Citizens bands.

Technical Discussion

BPL distributes data by imposing modulation on RF signals that are amplified to appropriate levels and sent over power lines. If unmodulated signals are transmitted over power lines, the amount of radiation in a select

portion of the electromagnetic spectrum could be easily reduced by simply excluding RF signals whose fundamental frequency are outside the selected band. It appears that the solution pursued by Electric Broadband is to eliminate the transmission of data within the protected (notched) band. We have no assurance that the operator of this or other similar systems will not employ other frequencies as their needs might dictate which will result in new interference. Absent clear boundaries set down by the Commission, we will constantly be in the form of a *shell game* which has already existed here when EB says "We're off" and we find they are "on". The misconception appears to be that by simply not selecting a frequency whose fundamental frequency is in a specific band of frequencies that there is no energy being radiated by the system in the band. There are two principal effects that will create RF energy in a supposed rejected band using notching:

- 1. Modulation bandwidth of modulated carrier signals and
- 2. Nonlinearities in amplifier gain blocks causing harmonic content.

Any signal that is modulated with data will, theoretically, be spread over a very wide band including the entire BPL band. The amount of spreading of a signal by data modulation is predominantly influenced by the modulation index that is a design property of the BPL modem. The slopes of the modulation sideband skirts determine how wide a notch must be to reduce the energy in the affected receiver (ham, CB, military user, etc). The level of suppression (or notch) determines the level of in-band spurious signals and must be set to levels where no harmful interference is created. To assure that the harmful interference is not created in a band that is being "notched", measurements are needed to confirm that the modulation sidebands from signals below and above the notched frequency band are being suppressed with an adequate guard band and that the depth of the null is low enough to eliminate harmful interference.

From data published in recent reports by Electric Broadband, LLC, it is clear that notching is being implemented. There does appear to be a noticeable reduction in the radiated power in some bands where notching is attempted. Electric fields in notched bands are on the order of 20 db below the levels above and below the notched bands.

The question remains on whether there is sufficient reduction in the radiated energy in a "notched" band to eliminate interference. What is difficult to determine in the tables produced in the September 16, 2004 report is whether the field intensity levels are measured accurately enough to determine if

notching alone can eliminate interference in the notched bands. The local amateurs who used their mobile stations to characterize the levels of radiation are convinced that even with notching certain bands are unusable because of BPL interference. For example, the 17-meter band (~18.1 MHz) is rendered unusable by levels of radiation from the power lines carrying conditioned (notched) BPL signals.

Notching alone can not assure that signals are not emitted on unintended frequencies. Because the BPL system relies upon the regeneration and retransmission of signals at periodic intervals within the network, this means that amplification is needed. A reality of life is that amplifiers are never perfect, one byproduct of amplification is called intermodulation.

Intermodulation (intermod) allows energy to be regenerated on frequencies that were not initially transmitted. These signals can be the source in interference. This is a function of the novel properties of each amplifying stage (repeater/retransmitter) and can vary widely. A second issue is that in some cases 'notching' is realized through the use of Digital Signal Processing (DSP). These techniques do not eliminate signals they merely attenuate (reduce) them so DSP notching does not fully equate to elimination of energy.

The proponents of the Cottonwood BPL test have spent hundreds of hours adjusting and readjusting a very small universe of BPL equipment, including bringing in the manufacturers' representatives from abroad practically this amount of attention can not be applied to a large system on a regular basis. The unfortunate recipient of interference must be both technically adroit and articulate if they are to even raise the question to the operators of the system. We have been trying to gain genuine relief since June 17, 2004, and still have received only a modest remedy and little if any exhortation to this end has been forthcoming from the Commission. In a wholesale deployment, the average ham or spectrum user will be totally ill-equipped to articulate the slight being worked upon them.

Summary

Some say that notching by selecting carrier frequencies (sometimes referred to as the DSP solution) will solve the harmful interference problem. The reality is that the problem is solved only when the levels of radiation in the affected bands (ham radio, CB, military, etc) drop below acceptable levels as determined by testing. This may be very difficult to prove in the test cell in Cottonwood, AZ. So far, testing by experts has failed to capture the true levels of field intensities in the notched bands that correlate with an

independent assessment. This will probably be incredibly difficult for APS (Arizona Public Service) to maintain if BPL is deployed on a large scale. APS will be inundated with requests to fix problems throughout their network if many tweaks are required to fine tune a system to prevent unacceptable interference levels.

Notching will not be sufficiently effective, by itself, overcome the effects of harmful interference in the HF bands. Even a combination of notching and radiated power limitation will likely be insufficient to overcome the effects of harmful interference.

The additional concern that should be expressed is that there remains no assurance that even if successful 'notching' is implemented today that it will stay in place. By accident or intention the operator of the BPL system perhaps under pressure to increase speed, or service more customers, will have at their disposal the ability to simply re-occupy these portions of the spectrum as they desire. That means that the licensed users of the spectrum must be ever vigilant. In the case of the NTIA they have requested that portions of the spectrum simply be protected *en banc* and one might assume that the Commission will so stipulate or otherwise condition the licenses of users who might occupy those segments if the NTIA's request is granted.

Many other users of spectrum in closed systems such as cable TV, are required to annually assert to the Commission their frequency as well as power utilization within those closed conductors, it seems only equitable that a radiating user should be required to account for their activities in a similar fashion. Unless clear rules that are easy to test are in place at the outset and the Commission is prepared to aggressively enforce these rules, the HF spectrum users will experience a major degradation in the use of their licensed bands and the Commission will be the loser in endless hours of wrangling over similar issues for years into the future.

Respectively submitted,

Robert Shipton, K8EQC Vice President Verde Valley Amateur Radio Association BPL Committee Chairman Cottonwood, Arizona

APPENDIX A

BPL Signal Strength Readings Recorded October 2, 2004 from 9:50 AM through 1:00PM

Radio and antenna information:

Icom 706 Mark 11 G

Preamp off

Selectivity:

3.00 khz SSB, CW- (2.4 khz SSB filter)

8.00 khz AM 8.00 khz FMN 12.00 khz FM

Hustler antenna- 54 inch mast, bumper mounted at right rear corner 2003 Chevrolet pickup. Using Hustler 400 watt resonators for each band with the exception of 160 meter band where 80 meter and 40 meter resonator used for that band.

Coax- is 18 feet RG 58. Rated loss 4.5 DB at 100 feet. Velocity factor- 66%

Signal readings were taken by the following at the 3 BPL sites in Cottonwood, AZ at a distance of approximately 30 feet from the power lines.

Mike Kinney- KU7W 1652 E. Sierra Drive Cottonwood, AZ. 86326 Norm Vandiver- N7VF 1862 Arena Del Loma Camp Verde, AZ. 86322

imes Burtie

rom: robert shipton [xytek@commspeed.net]

ent: Wednesday, October 06, 2004 3:53 PM

p: Sheryl Wilkerson

c: Anh Wride; Alan Stillwell; Riley Hollingsworth; James Burtle

ubject: Verde valley Amateur Radio Association , Cottonwood, AZ BPL Notching summary.

Shervi

ere is the mitigation and notching summary from the VVARA, Cottonwood, AZ as of 10-02-04

incerely,

obert Shipton, K8EQC ice President, Verde Valley Amateur Radio Association PL Committee Chairman ottonwood, AZ

Frequency	Sawmill Cove Area S Readings/ Comments
1.800- 2.000 mhz-	No BPL signals detected
3.500- 4.000 mhz-	No BPL signals detected
6.000- 6.900 mhz-	BPL signals detected/ 6.617 mhz- S3 SSB
7.000- 7.300 mhz-	No BPL signals detected
7.540 mhz-	BPL signals detected- S5- SSB, S6- AM Started at 7.400 mhz.
10.000- 10.150 mhz	No BPL signals detected
10.600 mhz- 11.000 mhz- 12.000 mhz- 13.000- 13.900 mhz-	BPL signals real faint on SSB
14.000- 14.350 mhz-	No BPL signals detected
18.068- 18.168 mhz-	No BPL signals detected
18.350- 19.000 mhz- 19.000 mhz- 20.000 mhz-	BPL signals detected/ 18.350 mhz- S9 SSB BPL signals S9 SSB, S9+20 DB- AM BPL signals S7 SSB, S9- AM
21.000 mhz- 21.100 mhz- 21.200 mhz- 21.300 mhz- 21.400 mhz- 21.450 mhz-	BPL signals detected S5 SSB, S7 AM S4 SSB, S7 AM S3 SSB, S6 AM S3 SSB, S7 AM S4 SSB, S7 AM S5 SSB, S7 AM
21.500 mhz- 21.614 mhz- 22.000 mhz- 23.000 mhz-	BPL signals detected S5 SSB S9+20 DB S9+10 DB No BPL signal detected
24.890- 24.990 mhz-	No BPL signals detected
26.000- 27.923 mhz-	BPL signals detected S7 SSB on and off intermittent.

28.000- 28.700 mhz-	BPL signals detected on and off			
	intermittent.			
29.540 mhz-	S5 SSB intermittent			
29.494 mhz-	S4 SSB intermittent S4 SSB intermittent			
29.700 mhz-				
34.000 mhz-	BPL signals detected faintly			
34.190- 35.000 mhz-	S3 SSB, S7 AM			
35.543- 36.000 mhz-	S5 SSB, S7 AM			
36.016- 37.000 mhz-	S7 SSB, S8 AM			
38.600 mhz-	BPL signal drop-off			
42.046- 42.700 mhz-	BPL signals faint			

American Heritage Academy

1.800- 2.000 mhz-	No BPL signal detected
2.538 mhz-	Faint BPL signal detected
3.000 mhz-	S6 SSB, S7 AM
3.424 mhz-	BPL signal drop-off to faint
3.500- 4.000 mhz-	Little bit BPL signal detected at 3.500 mhz but rest of band clear.
4400.5.000	
4.100 5.000 mhz-	BPL signal detected S5 SSB, S6 AM
5.000 mhz-	BPL signal drops off
6.476 mhz-	BPL signal starts up again
6.911 mhz-	BPL signal ends again
7.000- 7.300 mhz-	No BPL signals detected
7.400- 7.700 mhz-	BPL signals detected faintly
7.700- 9.000 mhz-	BPL signals detected faintly
9.000- 10.000 mhz-	BPL signals detected faintly
10.000- 10.150 mhz-	No BPL signals detected
10.240- 10.600 mhz-	BPL signals detected faintly
10.600- 11.000 mhz-	S5 SSB, S7 AM
11.000- 12.000 mhz-	S5 SSB, S7 AM
12.000- 13.000 mhz-	S7 SSB, S7 AM
13.000 mhz-	S5 SSB, S7 AM
13.500 mhz-	S5 SSB, S7 AM
13.950 mhz-	BPL signal drops off
14.000 mhz-	BPL signals detected faint
14.102 mhz-	BPL signals drop off
14.102 mile- 14.102- 14.350 mhz-	No BPL signals detected
16.000 mhz-	BPL signals detected faintly
16.300 mhz-	S5 SSB
16.315 mhz-	S7 SSB
17.000 mhz-	S7 SSB
18.000 mhz-	S7 SSB, S9 AM
18.068 mhz-	S5 SSB, S6 AM
18.100 mhz-	S2 SSB, S6 AM
18.168 mhz-	S2 SSB, S6 AM
	,

BPL signal detected faintly S7 SSB, S8 AM S6 SSB, S8 AM S5 SSB, S6 AM S5 SSB, S4 AM S5 SSB, S6 AM S3 SSB, S6 AM BPL signal gone No BPL signals detected
S7 SSB, S8 AM S6 SSB, S8 AM S5 SSB, S6 AM S5 SSB, S4 AM S5 SSB, S6 AM S3 SSB, S6 AM
S7 SSB, S8 AM S6 SSB, S8 AM S5 SSB, S6 AM S5 SSB, S4 AM S5 SSB, S6 AM
S7 SSB, S8 AM S6 SSB, S8 AM S5 SSB, S6 AM S5 SSB, S4 AM
S7 SSB, S8 AM S6 SSB, S8 AM S5 SSB, S6 AM
S7 SSB, S8 AM S6 SSB, S8 AM
S7 SSB, S8 AM
RVI SIGNAL GETECTEG TAINTIN
DDT street detected Cristian
S0 SSB, S4 AM
S0 SSB, S1 AM
S0 SSB, S4 AM
SO SSB, S4 AM
S1 SSB, S5 AM
S4 SSB, S6 AM
S9 SSB, S9+20 DB AM
S7 SSB, S9 AM
S8 SSB, S9 AM
S7 SSB, S9 AM
S-6 SSB, S9 AM
BPL signal detected faintly
S6 SSB
S5 SSB
S7 SSB
BPL signal detected faintly
No BPL signal detected
BPL signal detected faintly
BPL signal detected faintly
BPL signal drops off
S9+20DB SSB, S9+20DB AM

Birch Street Apartments

1.800- 2.000 mhz-	No BPL signals detected
2.500 mhz-	BPL signals detected faintly
3.022 mhz-	S4 SSB
3.184 mhz-	S3 SSB, S7 AM
3.301 mhz-	S4 SSB, S6 AM
3.421 mhz-	BPL signal drops off
3.500- 4.000 mhz-	No BPL signals detected
4.100- 4.600 mhz-	BPL signals detected faintly
6.400 mhz-	BPL signals detected faintly
6.500 mhz-	S7 SSB, S9 AM
6.960 mhz-	BPL signal drops off
7.000- 7.100 mhz-	BPL signal detected faintly
7.100- 7.300 mhz-	No BPL signal detected
7.340 mhz-	BPL signal starts
7.363 mhz-	S6 SSB, S8 AM
8.000 mhz-	BPL signal detected but faint
8.503 mhz-	SO SSB, S5 AM
8.798 mhz-	S4 SSB, S6 AM
9.022 mhz-	BPL signal drops off to faint
9.950 mhz-	BPL signal drops off to nothing
10.000- 10.150 mhz-	No BPL signal detected
10.219 mhz-	BPL signal start faintly
10.501 mhz-	S1 SSB, S6 AM
10.635 mhz-	S9 SSB, S9+30 DB AM
10.681 mhz-	S9+10 DB SSB, S9+30 DB AM
11.000 mhz-	S9 SSB, S9+30DB AM
12.000 mhz-	S9+20 DB SSB, S9+40DB AM
12.500 mhz-	S9+20DB SSB, S9+40DB AM
13.000 mhz-	S9 SSB, S9+20DB AM
13.500 mhz-	S9+30DB SSB, S9+60DB AM
14.000 mhz-	S7 SSB, S9 AM
14.100 mhz-	S6 SSB, S9 AM
14.260 mhz-	S6 SSB, S7 AM
14.303 mhz-	S4 SSB, S6 AM
14.350 mhz-	S4 SSB, S6 AM

		*
15.000 mhz-	S-1 SSB	
15.015 mhz-	BPL signal drops off	
16.240 mhz-	BPL signal starts	
16.308 mhz-	S6 SSB, S7 AM	
17.000 mhz-	S-1 SSB, S3 AM	
17.395 mhz-	SO SSB	
17.615 mhz-	S4 SSB, S6 AM	
17.967 mhz-	BPL signal drops off	
18.068- 18.168 mhz-	No BPL signals detected	
18.265 mhz-	BPL signals start	
18.273 mhz-	S6 SSB, S8 AM	
18.868 mhz-	BPL signal drops off	
18.977 mhz-	BPL signal starts up again	
19.000 mhz-	S1 SSB	
20.000 mhz-	SO SSB, S3 AM	
20.900 mhz-	BPL signal drops off	
21.000-21.450 mhz-	No BPL signals detected	
01.505	DDT 1 1 1	
21.595 mhz-	BPL signals detected and start	•
22.000 mhz-	S6 SSB	·
22.500 mhz-	S6 SSB	•
22.775 mhz-	S9+40DB SSB intermittent	•
23.507 mhz-	S9+40DB SSB intermittent	
23.800 mhz-	S9+10DB SSB intermittent	
24.000 mhz-	S9+20 DB SSB intermittent	
24.500 mhz-	S9 SSB intermittent	
24.890- 24.990 mhz-	BPL signals detected faintly	
2.1000 2.1000 2.100		
26.623 mhz-	BPL signals starts again	
26.665 mhz-	S7 SSB, S9 AM	
27.000 mhz-	S7 SSB, S9 AM	
27.405 mhz-	S7 SSB, S8 AM	
27.693 mhz-	S6 SSB, S7 AM	
27.927 mhz-	BPL signals drop off	
28.000- mhz-	S3 SSB	·
28.010 mhz-	BPL signal gone	
28.010- 29.700 mhz-	BPL signals not detected	
24 (701 -	DDI sissuale state (D 1)	.*
34.678 mhz-	BPL signals start Faint	
36.000 mhz-	BPL signals detected but faint	
38.000 mhz-	BPL signals detected but faint	